



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,633	10/23/2003	Joseph S. Beda	3481	8889

7590 11/02/2005

Law Offices of Albert S. Michalik, PLLC  
Suite 193  
704 -228th Avenue NE  
Sammamish, WA 98074

EXAMINER

WOODS, ERIC V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2672

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/693,633	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BEDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Eric V. Woods	<b>Art Unit</b> 2672	

**All Participants:**

(1) Eric V. Woods.

(2) Dennis Chow.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Kevin Jablonski (50401).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 October 2005

**Time:** 2 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None -- amendment of case for purposes of RCE was discussed*

**Claims discussed:**

*All*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that adding the words 'natively' and reciting the markup language command was received in markup language format at the API and that that format was native would overcome the prior art of record, at least to the extent required to obviate the current rejection of all claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). Applicant stated that such limitations or variants thereof should be added when the RCE is filed. .